		E TO OFFICE		Docket 1	No.: 6380P	0011US		
Ľ	TRANS	SMITTAL LE	TTER					
S	erial No.:	10/791,066	(0,2/4)	Filing D	ate:	03/02/200	4	
G	Froup Art Unit:	3742	JAN 1 0 2005 G	Examine	er:	Thor S. Ca	mpbell	
Α	applicant(s):	Atkins	A ST	<u> </u>				
Iı	nvention:	Compact In	tegraled Porced	Air Drying S	Drying System			
P.O Alex	Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313							
Transmitted herewith is Amendment A in response to the office communication dated May 28, 2004 in the above-identified application  Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR §1.9 and §1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.  A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR §1.9 and §1.27 is enclosed.  Petition for a month extension of time and the separate fee therefor is enclosed.  No additional claim filing fee is required.  The fee has been calculated as shown below.								
	CLAIMS HIGHEST NO.		PRESENT EXTRA	SMALL	SMALL ENTITY		LARGE ENTITY	
	REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE	
	TOTAL 21	MINUS – 22	=0		\$		\$	
	INDEPENDENT 7	MINUS - 3	= 4	x \$100.00 =	\$400.00		\$	
	First presentation of multiple dependent claim			=	\$		\$	
	Total Fee		184 188 JE	\$400		\$		
Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-2970 in the amount of \$ to cover the claim filing fee.  X								
The 425 Whe	Law Office Of Randa W. Wesley St., Suite aton, IL 60187 ) 505-1460	all T. Erickson, l		ATE OF MAIL	.I <u>NG</u>			
suffic	eby certify that this correction postage as first clary 5, 2005.							

Randall T. Erickson

Ву\_

/	0	ī	F	E				
(2	JAN	1	0	2005	032 3			
VX.	TRANSAS VIET							

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Mark R. Atkins	)		
Serial No.:	10/791,066	)	Group Art U	Init: 3742
Filed:	03/02/2004	)	Examiner:	Thor S. Campbel
For: Compact Integrated Forced Air				

## **AMENDMENT A**

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This amendment is in response to the Office Action of October 5, 2004. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4, 6-9 and 14-22 and objected to claims 5 and 10-13.